UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,950	11/24/2003	Kiomars Anvari		3526
7590 01/03/2007 KIOMARS ANVARI 1567 SERAFIX RD			EXAMINER	
			MALEK, LEILA	
ALAMO, CA 94507			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		<i>∽</i> ¶
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
-	10/719,950	ANVARI, KIOMARS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leila Malek	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO latute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2</u>	4 November 2003	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		Į.
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/24/2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in p priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/719,950 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 1, 11, and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. In claims 1 and 11 the acronyms "PCS and LAN" must be spelled out at first occurrence.
 - b. Claim 1, requires that each comprising step begin with a lower case letter.
 - c. Claim 1, each step must end with a semicolon ";" instead of a period.
 - d. Claim 1, line 15, after "base band signals" the period "." Must be deleted or replaced with a comma ","
 - e. Claim 1, line 13, "the <u>phase rotation</u> lookup table" has antecedent basis problem.
 - f. Claim 1, line 14, "converts" needs to be replaced by convert.
 - g. Claim 1, line 20, "prepare" needs to be replaced by prepares.
 - h. Claim 11 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form.

 As to claim 11, Applicant recites "the peak-to-average reduction circuit according to claim 1 and subsequent claims" See MPEP § 608.01(n).
 - i. Claim 12, line 3, the acronyms "FPGA, ASIC, DSP" must be spelled out at first occurrence.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinckley et al. (hereafter, referred as Pinckley) (US 6,983,026), in view of Montojo et al. (hereafter, referred as Montojo) (US 2003/0202611).

As to claim 1, Pinckley discloses a wireless (see column 1, lines 15-17) peak-toaverage reduction circuit (see column 8, lines 52-57) for use with multi-carrier power amplifiers in a wireless communication system to enhance the linearity and performance of the amplifier (see column 1, second paragraph), wherein the peak-toaverage reduction circuit comprising: a digital signal processing block to peak-toaverage reduce the multi-carrier input signal (see column 8, lines 52-57) using lookup table (See column 13, lines 12-29), a digital signal processing block to use the input and the output of the peak-to-average reduction to produce the phase rotation lookup table (see column 13, first paragraph and column 14, lines 42-62), a digital signal processing block to convert the multi-carrier baseband input signal to individual carrier base band signals (see column 9, lines 37-49 and column 14, lines 48-57), wherein the individual carrier baseband signal is first amplitude limited and then phase rotated (see column 14, lines 48-57) before being up converted to its original multi-carrier baseband signal (column 7, lines 50-60 and column 8, lines 63-66), a digital signal processing block that clips the amplitude of the individual carrier baseband signal by preserving the phase, a multi-carrier transmitter block (See Fig. 2, 211) that prepares the peak-toaverage reduced multi-carrier signal for delivery to multi-carrier power amplifier.

Page 4

Pinckley discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 1, except having a multicarrier receiver for the peak-to-average reduction of IF or RF input signal to amplifier. If the input signal is baseband then the multi-carrier receiver is bypassed. Montojo, in the same field of endeavor, discloses an apparatus and method for producing a multicarrier signal at a reduced peak to average power ratio (see the abstract). Montojo further discloses a multi-carrier receiver for the peak-to-average reduction of IF or RF input signal to amplifier (See paragraph 0019). Montojo does not expressly disclose that if the input signal is baseband then the multi-carrier receiver is bypassed. However, inherently if the received signal is a base band signal (as thought by Pinckley) this step would be useless and will be bypassed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a down-converter in the system to convert the received signal to base-band in order to extract the original signal from the RF signal.

As to claim 2, Pinckley further discloses that the main multi-carrier input signal from the wireless transmitter is sampled using sub-harmonic sampling technique at the input frequency or at an intermediate frequency (see column 7, lines 19-39).

As to claim 3, Pinckley further discloses that the main multi-carrier input signal from the wireless transmitter is sampled using sub-harmonic sampling technique at the input frequency or at an intermediate frequency (see column 7, lines 19-39). Pinckley does not expressly disclose that the digitized main multi-carrier input signal is down converted digitally and decimated to the appropriate number of samples per symbol for further digital signal processing. Montojo further discloses that the main multi-carrier

input signal is down converted digitally (see paragraph 0019) and decimated to the appropriate number of samples per symbol for further digital signal processing (see paragraph 0027). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a down-converter in the system to convert the received signal to base-band in order to extract the original signal from the RF signal. Also it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a decimator in order to generate proper number of samples for further signal processing as suggested by Montojo (see paragraph 0027).

As to claim 5, Pinckley discloses that the multi-carrier input signals from the wireless transmitter are in bit domain and the bit domain baseband signals are up converted (see the abstract), combined (see column 1, paragraph 3) to produce the digital multi-carrier baseband signal. However, Pinckley does not disclose using an interpolator to produce appropriate number of sample per symbol. Montojo further discloses that the main multi-carrier input signal is down converted digitally (see paragraph 0019) and decimated to the appropriate number of samples per symbol for further digital signal processing (see paragraph 0027). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a down-converter in the system to convert the received signal to base-band in order to extract the original signal from the RF signal. Also it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a decimator in order to generate proper number of samples for further signal processing as suggested by Montojo (see paragraph 0027).

As to claim 6, Pinckley discloses that the digital multi-carrier baseband signal is converted to single channel baseband signals (see column 14, lines 48-57). The individual baseband signals are amplitude limited and phase rotated using the phase from phase rotation lookup table (see column 13, lines 25-29), then filtered and up converted back to their original baseband frequency (see column 14, lines 52-55) before all individual baseband signals being combined again to produce the multi-carrier peak-to-average reduced baseband signal (see column 1, lines 40-42).

As to claim 7, Pinckley further discloses that the digital multi-carrier baseband signal is converted to single channel baseband signals (see column 14, lines 48-57), wherein the individual baseband signals are amplitude limited by a clipping circuit that calculates the amplitude and phase of the baseband signal. The amplitude of the baseband signal is clipped or is amplitude limited and then using the phase converted back to complex baseband signal.

As to claim 8, Pinckley discloses that the peak-to-average reduced signal is up converted (see mixers 209 and column 6, lines 49-51) and converted to analog domain at an intermediate frequency or the output frequency (see D/A converters). Pinckley does not disclose that the signals have been up-converted first and then sent to the D/A converters. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention (it is a design choice) to change the order of up-converters and D/As to meet the system requirements.

As to claim 9, Pinckley further discloses that the peak-to-average reduction phase rotation lookup table (i.e. the phase portion look-up table) is created using the

Application/Control Number: 10/719,950

Art Unit: 2611

input and the output from the peak-to-average reduction block during the calibration (see column 5, paragraph 3 and column 13, paragraph 1).

As to claim 12, Pinckley discloses that the DSP function can be implemented in programmable logic, FPGA, Gate Array, ASIC, and DSP processor (see column 4, lines 52-56).

3. Claims 4, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinckley and Montojo, further in view of Peterzell et al. (hereafter, referred as Peterzell) (US 2002/0132597).

As to claim 4, Montojo further discloses that the digital samples of the signals may need to go through a process of decimation or interpolation to have proper number of samples per symbol (see paragraph 0027), however Pinckley and Montojo do not disclose that the multi-carrier input signal from the wireless is sampled using Nyquist sampling technique. Peterzell discloses the use of the Nyquist sampling technique to produce a signal with appropriate number of samples per symbol for the Analog to Digital converter (see paragraph 0087). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the Nyquist sampling technique used to produce a signal with the appropriate samples as disclosed by Peterzell with the peak-to-average reduction circuit disclosed by Pinckley in order to obtain the appropriate sampling rate for producing a multi-carrier signal.

As to claim 10, Pinckley and Montojo disclose all the subject matters claimed in claim 1, except that the received signal strength of the input signal to peak-to-average reduction circuit and transmit signal strength of the output from the peak-to-average

reduction circuit is dynamically measured to adjust the total gain of the peak-toaverage reduction circuit zero. Peterzell discloses the use of RSSI measurement as
the factor to control the power output of the RF device to a base station (see paragraph
100). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the
RSSI measurement method as disclosed by Peterzell in order to calculate the
appropriate power or gain to implement for the RF system as disclosed by Pinckley.

As to claim 11, Pinckley does not expressly disclose that the peak-to-average reduction circuit is used in wireless cellular, wireless PCS, wireless LAN, microwave, wireless satellite, none wireless amplifiers, and any wireless communication systems used for military applications. The Peterzell reference however discloses the use of the RF circuit could be implemented in a wireless PCS and Wireless LAN atmosphere (See paragraph 0021). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the RF device being used in a wireless PCS and wireless LAN environment as disclosed by Peterzell with the peak-to-average reduction circuit as disclosed by Pinckley in order to implement the peak-to-average reduction circuit in many different wireless environments.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (US 2003/0202460, phase rotation look-up table).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leila Malek whose telephone number is 571-272-8731. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leila Malek Examiner Art Unit 2611

L.M

MOHAMMED CHAYOUR SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER